

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	PPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/661,189	10/661,189 09/12/2003		Darwin Mitchel Hanks	200310345-1	8310
22879	7590 04/07/2009			EXAMINER	
HEWLET	Γ ΡΑСΚΑ	RD COMPANY			
POBOX2	72400, 340	4 E. HARMONY RO	DAD		
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ADMINISTRATION				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
FORT COL	LINS, CO	80527-2400			

DATE MAILED: 04/07/2009

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No. Applicant(s) Notification of Non-Compliant Appeal Brief 10/661,189 HANKS ET AL. (37 CFR 41.37) Art Unit Examiner C. Lamb 2627 --The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address--The Appeal Brief filed on 18 July 2008 is defective for failure to comply with one or more provisions of 37 CFR 41.37. To avoid dismissal of the appeal, applicant must file anamended brief or other appropriate correction (see MPEP 1205.03) within ONE MONTH or THIRTY DAYS from the mailing date of this Notification, whichever is longer. EXTENSIONS OF THIS TIME PERIOD MAY BE GRANTED UNDER 37 CFR 1.136. The brief does not contain the items required under 37 CFR 41.37(c), or the items are not under the proper 1. 🔲 heading or in the proper order. 2. 🛛 The brief does not contain a statement of the status of all claims, (e.g., rejected, allowed, withdrawn, objected to, canceled), or does not identify the appealed claims (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(iii)). At least one amendment has been filed subsequent to the final rejection, and the brief does not contain a statement of the status of each such amendment (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(iv)). 4. (a) The brief does not contain a concise explanation of the subject matter defined in each of the independent claims involved in the appeal, referring to the specification by page and line number and to the drawings, if any, by reference characters; and/or (b) the brief fails to: (1) identify, for each independent claim involved in the appeal and for each dependent claim argued separately, every means plus function and step plus function under 35 U.S.C. 112, sixth paragraph, and/or (2) set forth the structure, material, or acts described in the specification as corresponding to each claimed function with reference to the specification by page and line number, and to the drawings, if any, by reference characters (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(v)). 5. The brief does not contain a concise statement of each ground of rejection presented for review (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(vi)) 6. The brief does not present an argument under a separate heading for each ground of rejection on appeal (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(vii)). 7. The brief does not contain a correct copy of the appealed claims as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(viii)). 8. The brief does not contain copies of the evidence submitted under 37 CFR 1.130, 1.131, or 1.132 or of any other evidence entered by the examiner and relied upon by appellant in the appeal, along with a statement setting forth where in the record that evidence was entered by the examiner, as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(ix)). 9. The brief does not contain copies of the decisions rendered by a court or the Board in the proceeding identified in the Related Appeals and Interferences section of the brief as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(x)). Other (including any explanation in support of the above items): c(3) The brief does not contain the status of all claims (claims 47-50 are canceled)... c(6) The grounds of rejection to be reviewed on appeal does not contain a concise statement of each grounds of rejection being presented for review. (claim 7 is canceled). c(7) The argument section must match the grounds section insomuch as each grounds corresponds to a heading within the argument section. The entire brief is not required, only the sections that were found defective... /Sharmalla Coates/ Sharmalla Coates, Supervisor

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Patent Appeal Center